



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, DC 20231

✓-D

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/253,831	02/19/99	ROBERTS	M 9329-001C01

✓-D

TM32/1030

HARNES DICKEY & PIERCE
P O BOX 828
BLOOMFIELD HILLS MI 20016

EXAMINER

HO, T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2612

12

DATE MAILED:

10/30/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/253,831

Applicant(s)
Roberts et al

Examiner
Tuan Ho

Group Art Unit
2612



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tuan Ho

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Harris

(4) _____

Date of Interview Oct 26, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: claim 31 and newly proposed claim amendments.

Identification of prior art discussed:

Sasson et al

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Upon a telephone interview, the examiner has confirmed that claim 31 was not rejected over Sasson et al. Mr. Harris has clearly explained the claimed format which was different from the Sasson et al. However, newly proposed amendments raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

TUAN HO
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2612

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.